# Hazard Mitigation Plan

Carolyn J. Harshman, CEM

**Emergency** Planning Consultants



## Relevant Background

#### Land Use Planner

- General Plan, Subdivisions, Zoning Ordinance, Growth Management
- Emergency Manager
  - Plans: Hazard Mitigation, Emergency Operations, Continuity of Operations, Catastrophic, Pre-Disaster Recovery
  - ► Training: SEMS, NIMS, ICS, FEMA Courses
  - Exercises: Tabletop, Functional, Full-Scale
- Degrees & Certifications:
  - Master of Public Administration

CERCICIAL ASSOCIATION

- Certified Emergency Manager (CEM®)
- Leader:
  - 2021-2022 President, International Association of Emergency Managers - USA Council



### 150+ FEMA-Approved Hazard Mitigation Plans

- City of Duarte
- City of Bradbury
- City of Rosemead
- City of Montebello
- City of San Fernando
- City of Sierra Madre
- City of South El Monte
- City of Palos Verdes Estates
- City of Rancho Palos Verdes
- County of Los Angeles
- Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority
- Mountains Recreations and Conservation Authority



## What is Hazard Mitigation?

Hazard Mitigation Includes Actions Taken To Minimize Or Eliminate Threats Associated With Hazards

### **Deliverables:**

- Planning Process
  - Research and Write Plan
  - 5 Planning Team Meetings (PT 12 hours/PM 30-40 hours)
  - Community Outreach
  - Approval and Adoption
- Risk Assessment
  - Community Profile
  - Hazard Mapping
  - HAZUS
  - Capability Assessment
- Hazard Mitigation Strategy
- Plan Maintenance
  Process

|   |           |                |         |          |          |              |          | _     | _     | _   | _    | _    | _      | _         |         | -        |
|---|-----------|----------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Tasks   | June 2024 | July-September | October | November | December | January 2024 | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| Planning Process and Organizing Resources                       |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Plan Writing (Initial, First, Second, Final)                    |           |                | Х       | Х        | Х        | Х            | Х        | Х     | Х     | Х   | Х    | Х    | Х      | Х         | Х       | Х        |
| Brief Planning Team on "New Rules"                              | Х         |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Develop Stakeholder List and Conduct Initial Community Outreach |           | Х              |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Planning Team Meeting #1 Project Overview, Initial Hazard       |           |                | v       |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         | _        |
| Results, and Community Outreach Strategy                        |           |                | X       |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Develop and Distribute Preparedness/Mitigation Survey           |           |                | Х       |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Planning Team Meeting #2 Results of Survey, HAZUS and           |           |                |         |          | v        |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Capability Assessment   |           |                |         |          | ^        |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Planning Team Meeting #3 Status on 2020 Mitigation Action Items |           |                |         |          |          | Х            |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Planning Team Meeting #4 Future Mitigation Action Items         |           |                |         |          |          |              | Х        |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Planning Team Meeting #5 Review Initial Draft Plan              |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       | Х     |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Present First Draft Plan to Planning Commission at Public Forum |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       | Х     |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Seek Public and Stakeholder Input to First Draft Plan           |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       | Х   |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Risk Assessment   |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Conduct Risk and Vulnerability Assessment                       |           |                | Х       | Х        |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Prepare HAZUS and Critical Asset Maps                           |           |                | Х       | Х        |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Prepare Capability Assessment                                   |           |                | Х       | Х        |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Hazard Mitigation Strategy                                      |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Update Status of Mitigation Actions and Develop New Actions     |           |                |         |          | Х        | Х            |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Include Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Plan            |           |                | Х       |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Plan Maintenance Process  |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         |          |
| Submit Second Draft Plan to Cal OES/FEMA. Complete              |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       | x   | x    | x    | x      | х         |         |          |
| Mandated Revisions.   |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       | ~   | ^    | ^    | ~      | ~         |         |          |
| Receive FEMA's Approvable Pending Adoption                      |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        | Х         |         |          |
| Conduct City Council Meeting to Adopt the Final Draft Plan      |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           | Х       |          |
| Submit Proof of Adoption to FEMA                                |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           | Х       |          |
| Receive FEMA Final Approval & Issue Final Plan                  |           |                |         |          |          |              |          |       |       |     |      |      |        |           |         | X        |

## New FEMA Requirements

## **Mitigation Planning** Guide

6

d 19, 2022, Effective April 19, 2023

ction #1660-0062

FEMA



## Hazard Identification



Mitigation Funding is for Natural Hazards



Chief Executive Office - Office of Emergency Management







County's AHMP:

State HMP:



City's General Plan Flan Safety Element:



City's 2020 HMP:

Earthquake, Geologic, Flood, Wildfire, Other (Climate Change & Drought)

Earthquake, Drought, Landslide, Flood, Tsunami, Wildfire, Dam Failure

Seismic & Geologic, Flood, Wildfire, Dam Failure, Hazardous Materials

Earthquake, Flood, Dam Failure, Windstorm, Wildfire, Landslide, Hazardous Materials



#### LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN



Emergency Preparedness
 Community Emergency
 Response Team
 Local Nazard Mitigation Plan
 Fire Services
 + Sherilf Services

() Hazard Mitigation Pla

#### Local Hazard Mitigation Plan



The City of Duarts will be starting the planning process to update the City's local Hazard Mingitation Plan since in much be updated were five years. In the coming month, there will be opportunities for residents to provide feedback and have their experiences, which will have the Cylicatify and plan for future distance. Once these opportunities are available, they will be present on this webpage. [City have to sign up and receive email notifications when feedback opportunities are weak evaluable.

#### What is a Local Hazard Mitigation Plan?

A Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is a framework that guides our community in making decisions and developing policies to reduce or eliminate risks to life and property. The plan identifies the types of hazards that threaten our community, evaluates our vulnerability to those threats, and outlines a strategy to reduce or eliminate the risk posed by those threats.

#### Why is the Plan important?

The Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that a community have an approved hazard mitigation plan to be eligible to apply for and receive certain types of Federal Emergency Management Agenty (FEMA) hazard mitigation funds, teckpior of these funds can be critical to the implementation of identified hazard mitigation programs that break the cycle of disaster, damage.

#### UPCOMING MEETINGS AND AGENDAS

DUARTE PLANNING TEAM

- Jason Golding (Chair), Planning Manager Community Development
  Stephanie Sandoval, Public Works Manager Community Development
- Bryan Ariizumi, Public Safety Manager Public Safety

Larry Breceda (Alternative), Public Safety Director – Public Safety

Andres Rangel, Assistant to the City Manager – City Manager's Office

Albert Nuñez (Alternative), Management Analyst - City Manager's Office
 Jeff Risley, Facilities Maintenance Supervisor - Parks and Recreation

Angela Chiaromonte, Financial Services Manager – Administrative Services
 Scott Nash – Resident



 Anchor plates, expansion anchors, and framing anchors to secure roofs to walls, walls at corners, and walls to foundations
 Gas and water automatic shut-offs

city\_of\_duarte O

facilities.

View insights

OOV

Add a comment...

S Liked by mark.montgomery.7528 and 28 others

ď.

Der more information, visit: (link in bio).

This essential plan, which must be revised every five years, helps secure funding for disaster response and recovery. The update will reassess risks from hazards like earthquakes, wildfires, and floods, and refine our strategy to protect critical

> e Upgraded gas line connectors d Strap down water heaters Secure heavy furniture and wall hangings

Protective coating on windows

Boost post

(INSERT CONTACT INFORMATION HERE)

Community Outreach -Tools

Preparedness/Mitigation Survey

Planning Process Video

► Flyers (w/QR code)

Press Releases

Social Media

#### Public Forums

# Community Outreach - Public and Stakeholders

Involve Throughout the Planning Process:

Medical

Food, Wate

- Local and regional agencies involved in mitigation activities
- Agencies that have the authority to regulate development
- Neighboring communities and special districts
- Business organizations, academia, and private interests\*
- Non-profit and community-based organizations that work directly with underserved communities and socially vulnerable populations

\* Community lifelines - the most fundamental services in the community that, when stabilized, enable all other aspects of society to function.

Hazardou

### Disadvantaged Communities & Socially Vulnerable Populations

### Disadvantaged



### Locating Socially Vulnerable



#### Legend Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)

- Low (Less than 25th Percentile)
- Medium Low (Less than 50th Percentile)
- Medium High (Less than 75th Percentile)
- High (Greater than 75th Percentile)

#### City of Duarte Boundary

### Defining Social Vulnerability

| litγ      |                                    | Below 150% Poverty  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|           | Socioeconomic                      | Unemployed  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Status                             | Housing Cost Burden   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Status                             | No High School Diploma  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ō         |                                    | No Health Insurance   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| פ         |                                    | Aged 65 & Older   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jer       | Household                          | Aged 17 & Younger   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Chavastavistics                    | Civilian with a Disability  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | Characteristics                    | Single-Parent Households  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| verall Vu |                                    | English Language Proficiency  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Racial & Ethnic<br>Minority Status | Hispanic or Latino (of any race)<br>Black or African American, Not Hispanic or Latino<br>Asian, Not Hispanic or Latino<br>American Indian or Alaska Native, Not Hispanic or Latino<br>Native Hawailan or Pacific Islander, Not Hispanic or Latino<br>Two or More Races, Not Hispanic or Latino<br>Other Races, Not Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0         |                                    | Multi-Unit Structures   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Housing Type &                     | Mobile Homes  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Transportation                     | Crowding  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Transportation                     | No Vehicle  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           |                                    | Group Quarters  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Earthquake Faults: General Plan Safety Element





### Earthquake Magnitude: Richter

- Magnitude = Richter Scale using logarithmic scale to describe ground movement.
- Every increase of one whole point on the magnitude scale (M) means another 10fold increase in ground movement.
- Example: M8 involves 10 times more movement than a M7 and 100 times more movement than a M6.

## Earthquake Intensity: Modified Mercalli Scale

| Intensity | Shaking        | Description/Damage   |
|-----------|----------------|--|
| I         | Not felt       | Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable conditions.   |
| Ш         | Weak           | Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings.   |
| Ш         | Weak           | Felt quite noticeably by persons indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings. Many people do not recognize it as an earthquake.<br>Standing motor cars may rock slightly. Vibrations similar to the passing of a truck. Duration estimated.               |
| IV        | Light          | Felt indoors by many, outdoors by few during the day. At night, some awakened. Dishes, windows, doors disturbed; walls make cracking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building. Standing motor cars rocked noticeably.                               |
| V         | Moderate       | Felt by nearly everyone; many awakened. Some dishes, windows broken. Unstable objects overturned. Pendulum clocks may stop.  |
| VI        | Strong         | Felt by all, many frightened. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster. Damage slight.  |
| VII       | Very<br>strong | Damage negligible in buildings of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well-built ordinary structures; considerable damage in poorly built or badly designed structures; some chimneys broken.  |
| VIII      | Severe         | Damage slight in specially designed structures; considerable damage in ordinary substantial buildings with partial collapse. Damage great in poorly built structures. Fall of chimneys, factory stacks, columns, monuments, walls. Heavy furniture overturned. |
| IX        | Violent        | Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb. Damage great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations.   |
| x         | Extreme        | Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures destroyed with foundations. Rails bent.   |

### Southern San Andreas USGS



- 180-mile fault rupture
- 100-seconds of fault rupture
- Magnitude 7.8
- Shaking for 2+ minutes

#### M 7.8 Scenario Earthquake - S. San Andreas; Mojave S, 10.4 km depth



## Shaking of Long Duration



(Note: Northridge Earthquake strong shaking lasted 7-15 seconds)

### Earthquake M7.0 Puente Hills Blind Thrust Fault General Plan Safety Element



### Earthquake M7.2 Sierra Madre Fault *General Plan Safety Element*



### Earthquake M7.8 San Andreas Fault *General Plan Safety Element*



### Liquefaction and Landslide Hazards *General Plan Safety Element*



### Flooding General Plan Safety Element



### Dam Failure Inundation General Plan Safety Element



### Wildfire General Plan Safety Element





## Windstorm - Santa Ana Winds



December 2011 winds strongest Santa Ana winds ever recorded.

San Gabriel Valley sustained winds at 97 mph and gusts up to 167 mph.



### Hazardous Materials

### Comparing Hazards -Calculated Priority Risk Index

CPRI

Degree of Risk

- 4 Criteria:
- Probability (45%)
- Magnitude and Severity (30%)
- Warning Time (15%)
- Duration (10%)

|             | Category               | Level ID   | Description   | Index<br>Value | Weighting<br>Factor |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|------------------------|--|---|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
|             |                        | Unlikely   | Extremely rare with no documented history of occurrences or events.<br>Annual probability of less than 1 in 1,000 years.  | 1              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Possibly   | Rare occurrences.<br>Annual probability of between 1 in 100 years and 1 in 1,000 years.   | 2              |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Probability | Probability            | Likely   | Occasional occurrences with at least 2 or more documented historic events.<br>Annual probability of between 1 in 10 years and 1 in 100 years.   | 3              | 45%                 |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Highly Likely  | Frequent events with a well documented history of occurrence.<br>Annual probability of greater than 1 every year.   | 4              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Negligible   | Negligible property damages (less than 5% of critical and non-critical facilities and<br>infrastructure.<br>Injuries or illnesses are treatable with first aid and there are no deaths.<br>Negligible loss of quality of life.<br>Shut down of critical public facilities for less than 24 hours.   | 2              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             | Magnitude/<br>Severity | Limited  | Slight property damage (greater than 5% and less than 25% of critical and non-<br>critical facilities and infrastructure).<br>Injuries or illnesses do not result in permanent disability, and there are no deaths.<br>Moderate loss of quality of life.<br>Shut down of critical public facilities for more than 1 day and less than 1 week. |                | 30%                 |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Critical   | Moderate property damage (greater than 25% and less than 50% of critical and<br>non-critical facilities and infrastructure).<br>Injuries or illnesses result in permanent disability and at least 1 death.<br>Shut down of critical public facilities for more than 1 week and less than 1 month.   | 3              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Catastrophic   | Severe property damage (greater than 50% of critical and non-critical facilities and infrastructure).<br>Injuries and illnesses result in permanent disability and multiple deaths.<br>Shut down of critical public facilities for more than 1 month.   | 4              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | More than 24 hours   | Population will receive greater than 24 hours of warning.   | 1              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             | Warning                | 12 – 24 hours  | Population will receive between 12-24 hours of warning.   | 2              | 15%                 |  |  |  |  |
|             | Time                   | 6-12 hours   | Population will receive between 6-12 hours of warning.  | 3              | 1370                |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Less than 6 hours  | Population will receive less than 6 hours of warning.   | 4              |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | Less than 6 hours  | s than 6 hours Disaster event will last less than 6 hours   |                |                     |  |  |  |  |
|             | Duration               | Less than 1 week   | Disaster event will last between 24 hours and 1 week.   | 3              | 10%                 |  |  |  |  |
|             |                        | More than 1 week Disaster event will last more than 1 week 4 |   |                | 1                   |  |  |  |  |

Assigned



#### Legend CDuarte City Limits \* Epicenter - Faults Peak Ground Acceleration Light Moderate Strong Very Strong Severe

### CPRI: Earthquake -M7.2 Sierra Madre Fault

- Probability = Likely = 3
- Magnitude/Severity = Critical = 3
- Warning Time = Less than 6 hours = 4
- Duration = Less than 6 hours = 1

Calculatinge the CPRI: [(3 x 0.45 = 1.35) + (3 x 0.30 = .90) + (4 x 0.15 = .60) + (1 x 0.10 = .10)] = 2.95 CPRI Total

### **CPRI** Ranking for Duarte

| Hazard                            | Probability | X 45% (.45) | Magnitude / Severity | X 30% (.30) | Warning Time | X 15% (.15) | Duration | X 10% (.10) | CPRI Total | Hazard Priority Ranking<br>(H-High, M-Medium,<br>L-Low) * |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------|---|
| Earthquake                        | 3           | 1.35        | 3                    | .90         | 4            | .60         | 1        | .10         | 2.75       | н   |
| Dam Inundation                    | 2           | .90         | 3                    | .90         | 4            | .60         | 2        | .20         | 2.60       | М   |
| Wildfire                          | 3           | 1.35        | 3                    | .90         | 4            | .60         | 3        | .30         | 3.15       | Н   |
| Landslide (including debris flow) | 3           | 1.35        | 2                    | .90         | 4            | .60         | 1        | .10         | 2.95       | м   |
| Windstorm                         | 2           | .90         | 2                    | .90         | 1            | .15         | 2        | .20         | 2.15       | L   |
| Hazardous Materials               | 2           | .90         | 2                    | .90         | 4            | .60         | 1        | .10         | 2.50       | L   |

\*Hazard Priority Ranking

High=CPRI score for probability + magnitude/severity (impact) = 6 or higher

Medium=CPRI score for probability + magnitude/severity (impact) = 5

Low=CPRI score for probability + magnitude/severity (impact) = 3 or 4

N/A=CPRI score for probability + magnitude/severity (impact) = 2

## **Closing Comments**

- Requested Documents
  - Emergency Operations Plan
  - Capital Improvement Program